

Message

From: Desroches, Neil (NWD) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=NEIL.DESROCHES]
Sent: 9/27/2010 9:48:22 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Sonja.Farak]
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED] JT on 9/30/10

Hi Sonja, first, I apologize on Dave's behalf for his lack of responsiveness, I really appreciate you're being on top of this issue and making us aware.

I believe most of the confusion comes from the fact that the drugs submitted under [REDACTED] are, were seized as part of the same execution of a search warrant. Technically, we would probably need both you and Rebecca to testify, but, I understand how impractical that is and I'm sure I can work something out. This may all be a moot point, though, because the defense attorney contacted me today and I believe a resolution short of trial is likely. I will keep you posted.

Thank you!!!

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2010 11:13 AM
To: Desroches, Neil (NWD)
Subject: FW: v. [REDACTED] JT on 9/30/10
Neil-

I just found out that you will be the ADA in Orange this Thursday (Sept. 30, 2010) taking over for David Lemasa on the [REDACTED] case. I've been trying to get in touch with Dave for about a month and a half regarding this case, and am hopeful that I will be able to get my questions answered from you. Please see the forwarded message that I sent him on August 5th. If you need to contact me, you can always email me or give me a call at the lab (413-545-2607). Thanks.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 11:08 AM
To: Lemasa, David (NWD)
Subject: v. [REDACTED]
Hi Dave-

I received your summons for the [REDACTED] trial scheduled for September 30, 2010 and I have a few questions/comments. First, the Drug Certificate numbers listed on the summons are [REDACTED]. The defendant listed on the first number [REDACTED] is listed as [REDACTED] but I was not the chemist that preformed the analysis on this sample (Rebecca Pontes is). Also submitted the same day by the Athol police were [REDACTED] chemist = Rebecca. As for the second number [REDACTED] the lab does not have a submission for that number (or any number above 0600 for that matter). I looked up submission [REDACTED] thinking that it might be a typo, and, although I am the chemist for that number, the defendant was listed as [REDACTED]. Finally I looked up the defendants name [REDACTED] and found one other submission that had that name on it which I had analyzed [REDACTED]. With all this stated, would you mind clearing up for me which drug lab certificate numbers are actually involved with this case?

Second, I may have a conflict on September 30 as I am suppose to be in Pittsfield District Court that day, though it is early and things may change (note as of Sept. 27th = the Pittsfield case is still on).

Thanks.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab